

Understanding motivations for participation undertaken by research participants in a vaccine trial in Lusaka - Zambia

Bornwell Sikateyo

Supervisors:

Drs Ann H Kelly & Paul Wenzel Geissler
LSHTM, Health Policy Unit

HIV VACCINE TRIAL

- 3 year multi-sited trials centrally controlled study: 1st year for assessments and 2 years for the interactions study
- Testing the hypothesis that micronutrients can enhance innate immunity in response to colonisation by live, attenuated oral vaccines
 - Micronutrient and vaccine interactions on innate and secretory immunity in the intestinal mucosa
 - Supplementation reduced severe episodes of diarrhoea, reduced mortality in HIV infected participants, and enhanced intestinal α -defensin expression in malnourished individuals
 - 4 live, attenuated oral vaccines will be used in the study
 - **Orochol**
 - **Vivotif**
 - **Rotarix**
 - **ACAM2010**

VACCINE TRIAL DETAILS (2)

- **Study will be divided into three parts**
 - 1st part will only involve helminth negative participants
 - Determine whether basic nutrition has an impact on the potency of vaccines
 - Each vaccine will be tested in a separate trial in order to determine the time course of any effects on antimicrobial peptide and cytokine expression
 - Each vaccine will involve 28 participants
 - Total of 160 endoscopies on 80 volunteers

VACCINE TRIAL DETAILS (3)

2nd stage

- Will look at interactions with innate immunity in two
- 4 groups, each with 20 participants
- Are 2 vaccines given together are more effective than when given individually?
- Are the potencies of the vaccines affected by the order with which they are given?
- Individually then in sequence, for example:
 - a) Ty21a, then ACAM2010/2017;
 - b) ACAM2010/2017 then Ty21a;
 - c) Rotarix, then Orochol;
 - d) Orochol, then Rotarix.

LOCATION & STUDY POPULATION

- Misisi township, Lusaka
 - One of Lusaka's poor squatter townships
 - Overcrowded housing conditions
 - Contribute to the high morbidity and mortality levels at UTH
- Both sexes of cohort aged between 18 and 78 years,
 - 35% are HIV seropositive
- Infants in the cohort (for Rotarix)

ETHICS CONCERNS

- Resource poor setting
- Access to health care
- HIV prevalence
- Social and ethical implications of vaccine trials
 - Ethics concerns related to power and wealth differentials
 - Northern public research grants focus mainly on vaccine and drug trials
 - Other important areas such as epidemiology and health systems are neglected
 - Pharmaceutical industry has little interest in diseases of poverty
 - Study populations bare burden of trials, but accessibility of post trial products is problematic
- Literacy, understanding issues
 - Trust/Mistrust of trials in situations of poverty and exploitation
- Zambian health research conducted to a large part by transnational organizations
 - Ignoring national health research agenda priorities
 - Ethics concerns related to power and wealth differentials

CRITICAL COMPONENTS OF CONSENT

- Disclosure of adequate information
- Understanding
- Trust

REVIEW OF STUDIES

- While several studies have addressed issues of information, understanding (Horng & Grady 2003, Pace, Grady & Emanuel 2003, Joubert et al 2003, Joffe et al 2001, Lynoe et al 2001, Fitzgerald et al 2001, Leach et al 1999, Karim et al 1998, Pitisuttithum et al 1997), **and trust** (Tindana et al 2006, Dawson & Kass 2005, Molyneaux 2004, 2005, Fairhead et al 2004, Karlawish, Fox & Pearlman 2002, van der Geest 2000, Leach 1999, Birungi 1998, Préziosi 1997), **the social relations in the research process have not**
- I therefore, would like to take the social grounding of consent in the research process by looking at the social relations, which make the trial process function
- I will do this by looking at the **everyday interactions** making trial implementation and agreements between research staff and participants.

SOCIAL RELATIONS

- Gender
- Lack of health care and medical benefits
- Relations of trust or mistrust of research
- Economic and medical situation
- Autonomy is NOT central to decision-making
 - Look at interactions as ongoing activities
 - Elicit a range of viewpoints from various actors
 - Understand what elucidates successful negotiations in the everyday interactions
 - Documentation of process happens after a series of events over time

ACTUAL CONSENT PRACTICES

- Social, economic and healthcare contexts
 - Influence understanding and agreement
 - Can participation be voluntary, ethically sound?
 - Close relationship between health care and research
- Consent as a socially grounded agreement between agents, rather than individual choice
 - Ethical nature of the interchange becomes more grounded in social interactions
- Consent as an evolving, processual relationship
 - Not just a moment of information exchange and agreement
 - Offers new avenues to make consent more robust

MY APPROACH TO INFORMED CONSENT

- Proposed Definition
 - Broad social consensual agreement to participation between agents
- How
 - Examine person-to-person-interactions in the consent process and during implementation of trial procedures
- What to use
 - Everyday interactions in the long-term relationships, e.g. consent form activities, expectations, rights, etc


STUDY AIM

To understand the motivations for participation undertaken by research participants in this complex and challenging vaccine trial

STUDY OBJECTIVES

- To determine and explore factors that motivate people to enrol in the vaccine trial
- To determine and explore factors that inhibit people from enrolling in the vaccine trial
- To assess how the consent relationships evolve and change over the course of the trial

Conceptual Framework



DATA COLLECTION & ANALYSIS

- Triangulate interdisciplinary tools
- Participant observations
- In-depth interviews
 - Field notes
 - Transcripts
 - Discourse or narrative analysis of textual data
- Group discussions
 - Transcribing
 - Translating (and back translation)
 - Inductive analytic techniques
 - Data verification
- Literature and document review

LIMITATIONS / BIAS

- Affiliation to MoH
 - Position as national research focal point person
 - Gender
 - Researcher bias – familiarity with people working with
 - Location of study
 - Length of enquiry

ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS

- LSHTM Ethics Committee
- Zambian Research Ethics Committee
- Minimal risks to participants
 - No immediate benefits to participants (incentives)
 - Access to participants will be through the trial process
- Participatory methods will only be done after verbal and written information
 - Nature, purpose, confidentiality and data protection issues
- Participants in audio recording will be given both oral and written information
 - Aims of the study, informed consent will be sought and taped

WHAT WILL THE STUDY ADD?

- Data on the application of ethics guidelines for consent as it unfolds in the course of a research process to better inform academia, policy makers, and the public
- Data on the everyday interactions making informed consent between research staff, regulators and participants in a resource-poor setting
- Inform national policy on development of vaccine trials in Zambia and Sub-Saharan Africa

*Thank you very much for your
attention!!*

