## Buruli ulcer

Bairnsdale ulcer *Mycobacterium ulcerans* disease

#### Distribution of Buruli ulcer, worldwide, 2012



The boundaries and names shown and the designations used on this map do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the World Health Organization concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. Dotted lines on maps represent approximate border lines for which there may not yet be full agreement. © WHO 2013. All rights reserved Data Source: World Health Organization Map Production: Control of Neglected Tropical Diseases (NTD) World Health Organization





![](_page_3_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_4_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_0.jpeg)

Mycobacterium ulcerans disease - Buruli ulcer

**Research priorities:** 

- Development of a low toxicity oral antibiotic regimen
  optimal duration to reduce time to complete healing
- Improved point of care diagnosis
- Capacity strengthening
- Prevention: Transmission Vaccine

# Diagnosis

- Microscopy for AFB: Sensitivity 40-60%
- Culture for *M. ulcerans*:
  - Delay
  - Sensitivity 40-60%
- PCR for *IS 2404* 
  - Sensitivity on FNA / swabs 86%
  - Cost
  - Training / quality control

# **Diagnostic tests**

- Current gold standard is PCR on swab/FNA sample
- Possible alternatives:
  - Mycolactone detection in swabs / FNA by TLC
  - Antigen capture approach likely to lead to a test that could be used in the field
  - Loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP)

## Antibiotics in vitro

Bactericidal:

Aminoglycosides Rifamycins

Bacteriostatic: Quinolones Macrolides

![](_page_14_Figure_0.jpeg)

Degas et al. Antimicrobial Agents& Chemotherapy 2000. 44: 2367–2372

![](_page_15_Figure_0.jpeg)

Bentoucha et al 2001. AAC 45(11);3109

	Table 1: N	Mean numbe	r (log <sub>10</sub> ) of CFU	J per footpad in	various grou	ups of mice (9	th experiment o	f M.ulcerans)	)		
	Results at:										
Regimen	D0 <sup>a</sup> 2 wk			4 wk			8 wk				
	Mean (±SD) CFU per footpad for the group	No. mice culture (+)/ total no. of mice	Mean (±SD) CFU per culture (+) footpad	Mean (±SD) CFU per footpad for the group	No. mice culture (+)/ total no. of mice	Mean (±SD) CFU per culture (+) footpad	Mean (±SD) CFU per footpad for the group	No. mice culture (+)/ total no. of mice	Mean (±SD) CFU per culture (+) footpad	Mean (±SD) CFU per footpad for the group	
1) Untreated control	6.24±0.45	10/10	5.94±0.51	5.94±0.51	7/7 <sup>b</sup>	6.03/0.76	6.03±0.76				
2) RIF alone					3/10	$1.52 \pm 1.01$	0.46±0.87	0/10		All 10 pads (-)	
3) MXF alone					9/10	3.23±0.87	2.99±1.12	7/10	1.72±0.95	$1.25 \pm 1.08$	
4) CLR alone					5/10	3.43±1.03	2.53±1.21	0/10 <sup>c</sup>		<1.82 <sup>d</sup>	
5) RIF-STR					5/10	1.05±0.73	0.53±0.74	0/10		All 10 pads (-)	
6) (RIF-STR 4 wk) followed by (RIF-MXF 4 wk)	l				2/10	0.50±0.71	0.10±0.32	0/20		All 20 pads (-)	
7) (RIF-STR 2 wk) followed by (RIF-MXF 6 wk)		7/10	2.50±1.23	1.88±1.43				0/20		All 20 pads (-)	
8) RIF-MXF					4/10	1.07±0.65	0.43±0.67	0/10		All 10 pads (-)	
9) RIF-CLR					0/10		All 10 pads (-)	0/10		All 10 pads (-)	
10) MXF-CLR					8/10	1.07±0.83	$0.86 \pm 0.86$	1/10 <sup>e</sup>	$0^{e}$	$0^{e}$	

<sup>a</sup>: Mice were inoculated with  $1.2X10^4$  CFU of *M.ulcerans* CU001 per footpad; the next day after inoculation, the mean number ( $log_{10}$ ) of CFU per inoculated footpad was 3.34 ±0.38. Treatment was begun 7 weeks after inoculation, when all mice developed a 'lesion index' of 2 or 3. All drugs were administered by gavage, except STR was injected subcutaneously, 5 days per week. The dosages for each treatment were RIF 10 mg/kg, STR 150 mg/kg, MXF 100 mg/kg, and CLR 100 mg/kg.

<sup>b</sup>: Among untreated control mice, 1 died, 2 of the remaining 9 footpads were contaminated during enumeration of CFUs.

<sup>c</sup> : Although all 10 pads were culture negative, only 0.1 ml of 1:10, 1:100 or 1:1000 diluted suspensions of the inoculated footpad were plated, in triplicate, on Löwenstein-Jensen medium.

<sup>d</sup>: If a single colony was detected in the 3 tubes plated with 0.1 ml each of the 1:10 diluted suspension, the number (log<sub>10</sub>) of CFU per footpad was 1.82.

<sup>e</sup> : Only one of the 10 footpads was culture positive: a single colony was detected in the entire volume of the undiluted tissue suspension (2 ml) which had been plated onto 10 tubes of Löwenstein-Jensen medium. Consequently, both the number  $(log_{10})$  of CFU of the positive footpad and the mean number  $(log_{10})$  of CFU per footpad for the group were 0.

# WHO trial of antibiotic treatment for early nodular *Mu* lesions

Group	No. of		Typical			
	patients	Culture	Mice	PCR	AFB	necrosis
Ι	5	5	5	5	4	4
II	5	5	5	5	5	5
III	3	0	0	3	3	2
IV	5	0	0	5	5	5
V	3	0	0	3	3	1

Group I No antibiotic

Group II RS for 2 weeks

- Group III RS for 4 weeks
- Group IV RS for 8 weeks
- Group V RS for 12 weeks

Etuaful et al. 2005 AAC 49(8);3182

## WHO recommended treatment

Rifampicin 10 mg/kg po

## + Streptomycin 15 mg/kg I/M

### Administered daily for 8 weeks to ambulant patients

### **Recurrence** rate

Surgery (no antibiotics): 6-47%

Phillips et al.: 0/144 (0%) Chauty et al.: 3/208 (1.4%) - 2/122 (1.6%) antibiotics alone - 1/107 (0.9%) after antibiotics + surgery

#### Aim: Development of a low toxicity oral antibiotic regimen

First step:

Controlled trial of RS8 vs RS4 followed by rifampicin + clarithromycin for 4 weeks (RC4)

Two sites in Ashanti Region, Ghana Team led by Tjip van der Werf and colleagues, Groningen University, Netherlands Funded as part of EU FP7 consortium – 'Burulico'

Outcome: No evidence of inferiority

Lancet 2010 375(9715):664-72

#### Next step

Current trial:

Comparison of RS8 with rifampicin + clarithromycin for 8 weeks (RC8) - 332 PCR confirmed patients with small (<10cm) lesions in 3 years (before December 2015) from 2 endemic countries

PI:Tjip van der Werf, University Medical Centre, Groningen<br/>Kingsley Asiedu, WHOBenin (Pobe):Study director Dr Annique Chauty - recruiting in 1 site

Ghana (Kumasi): Study director Dr Richard Phillips - recruiting in 4 sites

Currently recruited:Benin<br/>Ghana8<br/>47Funding:Benin<br/>GhanaRaoul Follereaux<br/>American Leprosy Missions

## **Further work:**

- Choice / dose of antibiotics
- Duration of treatment
- Optimal time for grafting
- Adjunctive treatment to improve wound healing
  - Dressings
  - Absorption / neutralisation of mycolactone